

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

AVOCENT REDMOND CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROSE ELECTRONICS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C06-1711RSL


ORDER REGARDING BELKIN'S
MOTION TO SEAL (Dkt. # 664)

This matter comes before the Court on “Belkin, Inc. and Belkin International, Inc.’s Motion to Seal Their Reply in Support of Their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.” Dkt. # 664. Belkin seeks permission to redact two portions of its reply memorandum because Avocent designated the information as confidential during discovery.

Belkin filed a publicly-accessible version of its reply memorandum with redactions. Dkt. # 665. Avocent agrees that the financial information contained in footnote 22 should remain sealed but waives any claim to confidentiality for the redaction at page 9, line 9 of Dkt. # 665. Rather than have Belkin refile the reply memorandum, the Court will simply recount the obscured statement here for the public record: “covered by a royalty-free license for all three patents-in-suit.”

1 For all of the foregoing reasons, Belkin's motion to seal (Dkt. # 664) is
2 GRANTED in part.

3
4 DATED this 6th day of September, 2012.

5 
6 Robert S. Lasnik
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26